	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/964,623	WADA ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Peter Chin	1731	

All Participants:

(1) _Peter Chin_.

(2) _Edward Garlepp_.

Date of Interview: _1 March 2005_

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
112 rejection

Claims discussed:
_9_

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discused fiurther amending proposed claim (copy attached) to recited that the core partition is configured to reduce burner flame flicker as disclosed on page 13, lines 2-4. Noted that the proposed addition of reduce burner flame flicker is supported by the statement on page 9, lines 2-4 "... the flicker of the core flame to be smaller...". Examiner noted that the proper addition of this additional limitation to the proposed changes to claim 9 would render the claims allowable. and the case would be ready for allowance if the the non-elected claims are also canceled. .